

REMARKS

New claim 41 supported by the limitation now canceled from claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, and 9-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. This rejection is respectfully traversed and should be withdrawn in light of the Amendment filed March 10, 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cleve et al. (Mol. Cell. Probes (1998) 12:243-147) in view of Dimitrov et al (U.S. 2003/0013091), and further in view of Hildebrandt et al., "Surface-Enhanced Resonance Raman Spectroscopy of Rhodamine 6G Absorbed on Colloidal Silver," J. Phys. Chem., 1984, Vo1.88, pp.5935-5944, OR Kudelski et al., "Characterization of the copper surface optimized for use as a substrate for surface-enhanced Raman scattering," Vibrational Spectroscopy, 1998, Vo1.16, pp.21-29. This rejection is respectfully traversed and should be withdrawn in light of the arguments in the Amendment filed March 10, 2009.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Singer et al (U.S. Patent 6,534,266) in view of Urdea et al (U.S. Patent 5,635,352), in view of Horn et al (U.S. 2001/0009760), and further in view of Hildebrandt et al., "Surface-Enhanced Resonance Raman Spectroscopy of Rhodamine 6G Absorbed on Colloidal Silver," J. Phys. Chem., 1984, Vo1.88, pp.5935-5944, OR Kudelski et al., "Characterization of the copper surface optimized for use as a substrate for surface-enhanced Raman scattering," Vibrational Spectroscopy, 1998, Vo1.16, pp.21-29. This rejection is respectfully traversed and should be withdrawn in light of the arguments in the Amendment filed March 10, 2009.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woudenberg (US 7,198,900, filed 8/29/03), in view of Hildebrandt et al., "Surface-Enhanced Resonance Raman Spectroscopy of Rhodamine 6G Absorbed on Colloidal Silver," J. Phys. Chem., 1984, Vo1.88, pp.5935-5944, OR Kudelski et al., "Characterization of the copper surface optimized for use as a substrate for surface-enhanced Raman scattering," Vibrational Spectroscopy, 1998, Vo1.16, pp.21-29. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The present application was filed on September 24, 2003, while the effective filing date of Woudenberg is August 29, 2003. Applicants antedate Woudenberg by filing a declaration under 37 CFR 1.131, attached herewith.¹ Thus, the rejection over Woudenberg in view of Hildebrandt or Kudelski should be held in abeyance.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Please charge any fees associated with the submission of this paper to Deposit Account Number 033975. The Commissioner for Patents is also authorized to credit any over payments to the above-referenced Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 20, 2009

By: /Raj S. Dave/
Raj S. Davé
Registration No.: 42,465
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Customer No. 00909
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
P.O. Box 10500
McLean, VA 22102
Telephone: 703-770-7900
Facsimile: 703-770-7901

¹ In the Amendment of March 10, 2009, Applicants inadvertently referred to the Rule 131 Declaration as "Rule 132 Declaration."

Application No. 10/670,701
Supplemental Amendment dated March 20, 2009
Reply to Non-Final Rejection dated October 10, 2009

Docket No.: 043395-0377977

ATTACHMENT: RULE 131 DECLARATION